

Dilek Melike Ulucay¹

Ferah Onat²

Asuman Ozer³

Changing Attitudes Towards Water Consumption: Influencer Communication on Instagram

Abstract

Due to environmental challenges, water scarcity has become a global issue, and consumers' water conservation attitudes (WCA) have become crucial. Although traditional media campaigns have a power on consumers' motivation to change their sustainable water consumption behaviours, social media campaigns can be more influential in changing negative attitudes into positive ones toward water conservation. Influencer marketing can especially cause changes in a shorter time since it provides more personal communication than traditional marketing communication. This study aims to reveal whether influencer marketing can change water consumption attitudes (WCA). The main research question is: "Does influencer communication about water conservation cause an attitude change in the followers?" We conducted a quasi-experimental design for three weeks and examined consumers' WCA by pre-and post-test results by using the WCA survey developed by Dolnicar & Hurlimann [1]. Participants answered the survey before and after being exposed to the 24 videos shared by the influencer. The increase in attitude points is statistically significant (t= -15.461, p=.000).

^{1:} Assoc. Prof. Yasar University, Faculty of Communication, Public Relations and Advertising Department

² Assoc. Prof. Yasar University, Faculty of Communication, Public Relations and Advertising Department

³ Research Assistant, Yasar University, Faculty of Communication, Public Relations and Advertising Department



Keywords: Water conservation attitudes, water conservation campaigns, influencer marketing, Instagram influencers, quasi-experimental design

Introduction

Water scarcity is a global challenge for achieving the Sustainable Development Goals of the United Nations, and it is vital to spread sustainable water consumption behaviours [2]. According to Llanos et al. [3], the perception of sustainable water consumption is influenced by water consumption services and indirect and direct domestic water consumption, which are the water-footprint indicators. Promoting sustainable water consumption is critical in managing water resources since it can encourage households to try to adopt more water-saving activities and provide information on how to do so [4]. Informative mass-media campaigns are criticized for poor message design, but more critically, for underestimating the difficulties of behaviour change [5].

As social media has become a part of our daily lives, influencers in social media became to act as online opinion leaders, smooth the way for knowledge dissemination, new information processing, and impulse new behavior adoption [6]. Compared to traditional marketing, mediated experiences with social influencers appreciate more interpersonal relations because of the openness, frequency, and mutual nature of celebrity endorsement [7].

This study investigates the effect of influencer communication on WCA and addresses the following question:

Does influencer communication about water conservation cause an attitude change in the followers?



Conceptual Framework

Although there is more than one way to influence attitudes towards water conservation, it is already proven that awareness of the water-footprint concept has an impact on people to promote sustainable water consumption [8] The origin of "water footprint" (WF), introduced by Hoekstra and Hung [9], was inspired by the concept of the "ecological footprint" developed by Wackernagel and Rees [10]. These concepts have supported sustainable consumption of goods and services, and people can learn how to manage water in their households [11]. Water conversation in households is related to essential behaviors in reducing water consumption such as fixing leaking taps, turning off taps while brushing teeth, using a dishwasher, washing machines with full loads, reusing greywater, and taking showers rather than baths [12], [13]. Moreover, household water-use activities are shaped by varied capabilities, motivations, opportunities, and attitudes towards these activities [14], [15].

It is necessary to mention the importance of developing the right communication strategies to raise awareness about the water footprint to effectively address the attitudes related to water conservation behavior. In research about the awareness of water scarcity conducted at a university in Turkey, participants demanded educational and informational activities related to environmental issues [16]. Along with having many ways to communicate with people, social media has become one of the most effective ways of raising awareness among the public, thanks to the digitalized world [17]. Further, social media influencers became opinion leaders. By creating organic content for specific followers, they manage to maintain a permanent link with followers by creating organic content for those with whom they share their lifestyles and mindsets. Thus, their content significantly impacts the target audience to shape and spread trends.



It is known that influencer marketing and social marketing are effective tactics in social media to create awareness about environmental issues [18], [19], [20] Thus, this study aims to address influencer marketing's influence in changing WCA.

Influencer marketing is defined as a quickly expanding industry that promotes goods or raises brand awareness through posts shared by popular social media users [21], including the ability of influencers to gather an audience and direct the ideas and behaviors of this audience [22]. This ability enables influencers to create an impact not only in brand awareness but also in daily life situations.

According to Boerman and Müller [23], influencers can positively influence proenvironmental behavior. Individuals' attitudes about sustainability issues are affected by influencer marketing [24] and influencers are effective in changing the attitudes of consumers about correct water use [25]. Many studies prove that influencer marketing is effective in improving environmental and green awareness [26], [27], [28]. The communication between influencers and users on social media especially plays a role in people adopting a conscious and green lifestyle [29] and water footprint concept and awareness of water footprint are among the main aspects of a green lifestyle that has recently emerged.

Methodology

To facilitate the study aim, we conducted a quasi-experimental research design. Although using experimental designs to evaluate water-conservation campaigns' impact is not new [30], it remains an underused technique [31], [32]. Experimental design may provide specific suggestions to improve conservation campaigns rather than broad generalizations [33].



The study consisted of two components:

- designing water conservation content with the Instagram influencer Gizem Dağ @mutluluktasarimcisi in a special account formed for the experiment (bap114_suayakizi);
- measuring attitude change via a survey.

Experimental Influencer Marketing Design

In the experiment, we examined attitude change towards water conservation by comparing pre and post-test results. We adopted the WCA scale developed by Dolnicar & Hurlimann [1] to measure consumer WCA. The scales developed by Reddy et al. [30] and Ananga et al. [31] also inspired the current research. As the experimental stimuli, we used the Influencer's Instagram posts, including information about direct domestic water consumption (DWC), indirect domestic water consumption (IWC), and water consumption in urban services (WCS) that aims to inform the followers about water footprint and sustainable water consumption. The framework developed by Llanos et al. [32] was used as a guide during content creation.

In total, 140 posts were shared in the Instagram account of the project named "@bap114_suayakizi". Of these 140 Instagram posts, 106 were stories, and 34 were feeds. Apart from the WCA scale, demographic questions and water footprint perception levels of the participants were surveyed at the experiment's beginning to reveal the participants' general profiles. Regarding demographics, age, education, and sex were surveyed. For water-footprint perception, 13 items were questioned on a five-point Likert type scale. Water-footprint questions were adapted from Llanos et al. [32.] For reference, the table below presents the items that question the perception regarding water footprint (See Table 1).



Table 1

Items for Water Footprint Perception

I think taking shorter showers enables us to conserve water.

I think using less water while brushing our teeth enables us to conserve water.

I think using dual flush toilets enables us to conserve water.

I think using less water while hand washing enables us to conserve water.

I think using less water while washing the dishes enables us to conserve water.

I think using less water to do laundry enables us to conserve water.

I think changing our textile shopping habits enables us to conserve water.

I think changing our car cleaning habits enables us to conserve water.

I think eating less meat enables us to conserve water.

I think using energy sources in a more efficient way enables us to conserve water.

I think wastewater treatment plants in cities contribute to water conservation.

I think recycling enables us to conserve water.

I think renewable energy sources contribute to water conservation.

As can be seen in Table 1, water-footprint perception items cover direct water consumption (DWC), indirect water consumption (IWC), and water consumption services (WCS) that aim to inform the followers about water footprint and sustainable water consumption.

The communication process took three weeks, as the previous experimental design on the impact of the communication campaign suggests [31]. For reference, two screenshots of the most popular videos are provided below illustrating DWC. All videos on the Instagram account explain the elements of the water footprint concept, and water consumption by numbers and give small tips and technics for less water consumption. These informational and motivational videos are shot in a flat. The videos are made in a conversational manner without a scenario.





Figure 1
Screenshot of a Sample DWC



Figure 2
Screenshot of a Sample IWC

In Figure 1, a screenshot of a sample video used in the experiment is seen. The video explains practical tips demonstrating the proper utilization of water in the kitchen for washing fruits and vegetables. In Figure 2, the screenshot of the video shared on Instagram is seen. It gives information about the water footprint of a shirt by numbers. In the videos, Gizem Dağ (the influencer who shared the content) asks questions such as "Do you know the amount of water used in the production of that basic t-shirt?" The influencer shared these informational videos on her own Instagram account, and each drew significant attention from her followers.



Participants

This study utilized voluntary participants from the followers of the Instagram influencer Gizem Dağ. Detailed information about the influencer is given below. She shared an announcement to her followers on her own Instagram account to invite them to the experiment which will take place in a new Instagram account (@bap114_suayakizi). She requested to follow the specific account for her new project, which she will share the details of in a short while. She did not provide a further explanation in order not to influence followers' responses to the WCA scale. Seventy-six of her followers participated in the experiment voluntarily.

Instagram Influencer

Gizem Dağ is a micro-influencer giving suggestions on life and critical issues and motivates her followers to achieve better things for life and the planet. She had 31K followers at the time of the experiment. The username (@mutluluktasarimcisi) means "happiness designer" in English, positioning her as a happiness coach. Moreover, she is a PhD candidate in public relations, which increased her motivation to participate in this research.

Measures for Water-Conservation Attitude Change

At the experiment's beginning and end, the water-conservation attitude scale adapted from Dolnicar & Hurlimann [1] measured the overall impact of influencer marketing. The original scale consisted of 14 items. The scale used in the study was developed as a five-point Likert type scale ranging from strongly disagree (1) to strongly agree (5). There were 12 indicators in total. The table below is provided as a reference of these indicators (see Table 2).



Table 2

Items for WCA

Water conservation is important.

Water conservation is necessary because of water scarcity.

More attention to water conservation is needed.

I conserve water wherever I can.

I could make more effort to conserve water.

I advocate water conservation among my friends and family.

The need for water conservation depends on the location.

Water conservation alone can solve some water problem issues.

I feel no pressure to conserve water now.

Water shortage issues don't affect me.

I am not concerned at all with water conservation.

Water conservation isn't my responsibility.

Data Analysis

Frequencies and percentages were used to describe participants' profiles. A paired samples t-test was conducted to test the significant difference between the points of the WCA scale before and after the experiment.

Findings

This section provides a descriptive analysis of the participants' profiles, including demographic data and their water-footprint perception level. Then, the findings of paired t-test sample results are illustrated to discuss the significance of the difference between the water-conservation attitude scale points before and after the experiment.

The sample consisted of 76 voluntary followers of Gizem Dağ. The participant demographics were determined at the end of the survey. The majority were female (n=56, 73.7 per cent) and consisted of highly educated urban-based individuals holding degrees such as undergraduate (n=33, 43.4 per cent), graduate (n=22, 28.9 per cent), and high school



diplomas (n=15, 19.7 per cent). Thus, the highest education level attained is considerably higher than the average Turkish citizen. The greatest level of education acquired in Turkey, according to the World Values Survey (WVS), is a "complete primary school degree" (n=481[1605 total], 30%). With 178 cases, university graduates make up 11.1% of the sample. The respondents' age distribution is evenly distributed (between 18 and 64). The table below presents the participants' demographics (see Table 3).

Table 3

Demographic Profile of the Participants

Measure	Items	n	%	
Sex	Female	56	73.7	
	Male	20	26.3	
Education	Secondary school	1	1.3	
	High school	15	19.7	
	Vocational school	5	6.6	
	Undergraduate degree	33	43.4	
	Graduate degree	22	28.9	
Age	18-24	14	18.4	
	25-34	21	27.6	
	35-44	25	32.9	
	45-54	11	14.5	
	55-64	5	6.6	
Total		76	100	

We used a 5-point Likert-type scale, allowing respondents to express how much they agree or disagree with the given statements. Each statement used in the scale has a numerical value ranging from 1 (strongly disagree) to 5 (strongly agree) to measure the water-footprint perception level. We conducted a descriptive analysis and used frequency, standard deviation, and mean scores to analyze the data. To measure the respondents' water-footprint perception score, we applied the following formula for all statements. On a 5-point Likert-type scale, the range is 4 (5-1=4). The greatest value of the scale, 5, is divided into 4 (4/5=



0.80), and the range values are determined: between 1 and 1.80 represents strongly disagree, between 1.81 and 2.60 represents do not agree, between 2.61 and 3.40 represents neutral, between 3.41 and 4.20 represents agree, and between 4.21 and 5.00 represents strongly agree.

As a reference, the table below provides the mean scores of the participants on water footprint perception level.



 Table 4

 Water Footprint Perception Level of the Participants

Items	N	Mean	Std. deviation	Interpretation
I think taking shorter showers enables us	76	4.5395	.88605	Strongly agree
to conserve water.				
I think using less water while brushing	76	4.5000	.95917	Strongly agree
our teeth enables us to conserve water.				
I think using dual flush toilets enables us	76	4.4737	.85594	Strongly agree
to conserve water.				
I think using less water while hand	76	4.3816	.92329	Strongly agree
washing enables us to conserve water.				
I think using less water while washing	76	4.5526	.77278	Strongly agree
the dishes enables us to conserve water.				
I think using less water to do laundry	76	4.2500	1.15614	Strongly agree
enables us to conserve water.				
I think changing our textile shopping	76	3.9605	1.22682	Agree
habits enables us to conserve water.				
I think changing our car cleaning habits	76	4.3026	1.04588	Strongly agree
enables us to conserve water.				
I think eating less meat enables us to	76	3.6316	1.05631	Agree
conserve water.				
I think using energy sources in a more	76	4.4868	.84053	Strongly agree
efficient way enables us to conserve				
water.				
I think wastewater treatment plants in	76	4.5395	.77358	Strongly agree
cities contribute to water conservation.				
I think recycling enables us to conserve	76	4.4342	.86926	Strongly agree
water.				
I think renewable energy sources	76	4.5000	.77460	Strongly agree
contribute to water conservation.				

The results of the study illustrate that respondents' water-footprint perception mean scores for all the items are over 3.41, which means the respondents' WFP (Water Footprint Perception) levels were positively high. This finding demonstrates that they are conscious of sustainable water consumption.



A paired samples t-test was performed to determine the significant difference between the attitude scale points before and after the experiment. As indicated in Table 5 below, attitude points increased from the pre-test (M=3.5730, SD=.41963) to the post-test (M=4.5241, SD=32456). The increase in attitude points is statistically significant (t= -15.461, p=.000).

Table 5Results of t-Test and Descriptive Statistics for the Participants' WCA Scores

	Pre-test	J	Post-test				
Total Mean	M	SD	M	SD	t	p	n
Scores of the							
WCA Scale							
	3.5730	.41963	4.5241	.32456	-15.461	.000	76

A paired sample t-test was conducted for each item to investigate which indicators created the significant difference. As shown in Table 6 below, for items 3 (t=-4.732, p=.000), 7 (t= 10.279, p=.000), 9 (t= 16.408, p=.000), 10 (t=21.325, p=.000), 11 (t= 9.089, p=.000), and 12 (t=27.203, p=.000), attitude points increased from pre-test and post-test, statistically indicating participants' attitudes towards individual responsibility for water conservation changed positively from the experiment.



Table 6Results of the t-test for each Item of WCA Scale

		Paired Dif	fferences				_		
						Confidence			
			Std.	Interval of the					
			Std. Deviation	Error Mean	Difference		=		
		Mean			Lower	Upper	t	df	Sig. (2-tailed)
Pair 1	Water								
	conservation	02632	.16114	.01848	06314	.01051	-1.424	75	.159
	is important								
Pair 2	Water								
	conservation								
	is necessary	.01316	.59985	.06881	12391	.15023	.191	75	.849
	because of								
	water scarcity								
Pair 3	More attention								
	to water	40789	.75149	.08620	57962	23617	-4.732	75	.000
	conservation								
	is needed								
Pair 4	I conserve	4.7700							
	water	15789	1.20058	.13772	43224	.11645	-1.147	75	.255
	wherever I can								
Pai 5	I could make								
	more effort to	14474	.98933	.11348	37081	.08133	-1.275	75	.206
	conserve								
Doir 6	water								
Pair 6									
	water conservation								
		07895	1.18618	.13606	35000	.19211	580	75	.564
	among my friends and								
	family								
Pair 7	The need for								
i aii /	water	2.60526	2.20955	.25345	2.10036	3.11017	10.279	75	.000
	conservation	2.00320	2.20755	.23373	2.10030	5.11017	10.217	13	•000



	does not								
	depend on the								
	location								
Pair 8	Water								
	conservation								
	alone can	22250	1.41042	4 < 4 = 0	54598	.09861	-1.383	75	
	solve some	22368		.16179					.171
	water problem								
	issues								
Pair 9	I feel no								
	pressure to								
	conserve	2.77632	1.47512	.16921	2.43924	3.11339	16.408	75	.000
	water at the								
	moment								
Pair	Water								
10	shortage	3.28947	1.34478	.15426	2.98218	3.59677	21.325	75	.000
	issues don't	3.20947 1.34	1.54470	.13420	2.70210	3.37011	21.323	13	.000
	affect me								
Pair	I am not								
11	concerned at all	2.14474	2.05721	.23598	1.67464	2.61483	9.089	75	.000
	with water	2.11177	2.03721	.23370	1.07 104	2.01 103	7.007	,,	•000
	conservation								
Pair	Water								
12	conservation	3.47368	1.11324	.12770	3.21930	3.72807	27.203	75	.000
	isn't my	2200	1.1102	.12//0	2.21/20	2.,2007	27.203		
	responsibility								

The indicators of water-conservation attitudes that increased after the experiment were: 'more attention to conservation', 'the need for water conservation does not depend on location', 'I feel no pressure to conserve water at the moment',' water shortage does not affect me', 'I am not concerned at all with the water', and 'water conservation is not my responsibility'. Notably, the indicators related to a personal approach to water consumption behaviors and responsibilities changed. These findings may indicate that influencer-marketing can influential on changing the attitudes of consumers, as Grundler [24] confirms



regarding sustainability issues, [19], [20] and Breves and Lieber [18] confirm regarding environmental and green awareness.

Conclusion

The water footprint is a concept that can be used to communicate via different communication channels. The research aimed to understand the impact of influencer communication on sustainable water consumption behavior by using water footprint as content. Recent studies have not indicated findings related to influencer communication affecting attitude change towards water consumption. This research provides an initial step to ignite future research designs in this area.

Influencer marketing was chosen as the means of the experiment for the dissemination of water footprint information via Instagram. It was a challenging process, as the influencer was not prone to promote pro-environmental behavior in her account. Apart from being a green influencer, she created 19 videos and many stories about sustainable and responsible water consumption and the water-footprint concept, receiving 1,117 views from Instagram users with different demographic features. It was also a challenging process for the influencer to motivate her fans to participate in the experiment and answer the survey questions twice.

In the experiment, we measured the change in water conservation attitudes through pre and post-tests. Three types of water consumption (direct domestic, indirect, and urban services) regarding water footprint and water consumption were used in the Instagram shares as the experimental stimuli. A significant increase in the attitude points was observed (t=-15.461, p=.000), where positive attitude change happened after the experiment towards individual responsibility for the conservation of water. The indicators of water conservation attitudes were mostly at a personal level which consisted of more attention to conservation, the need for conservation not depending on location, feeling pressure to conserve water,



water shortage concerns, and responsibility to conserve water. Therefore, we believe that if the respondents' information level about water footprint is sufficient to perceive water conservation and water consumption issues, then influencer communication can be effective with well-designed content.

For further studies, we recommend researchers evaluate the credibility and the parasocial interaction of the influencers before the experiment. Besides, the impact of green influencers and other influencers can be compared in further experiments. The number of participants can be increased, and for the reliability of the research, the demographic features of the sample can be more heterogeneous. This type of experimental study can be repeated by using other types of stimuli related to pro-environmental behaviors and environmental issues in the future.

Ethical Statement:

Informed consent was obtained from all individual participants involved in the study.

Their consent was asked for and taken in the online survey.

Disclosure Statement

The authors declare that there are no relevant financial or non-financial competing interests to report.

Funding:

This work was supported by the Project Evaluation Commission of Yasar University within the scope of the scientific research project "BAP 114: Changing Attitudes Towards Water Consumption: Influencer Communication on Instagram"



References

- [1] Dolnicar, S., & Hurlimann, A. (2010). Australians' water conservation behaviours and attitudes. *Australasian Journal of Water Resources*, *14*(1), 43–53.
- [2] United Nations. (2022). Goal 6: Ensure access to water and sanitation for all. https://www.un.org/sustainabledevelopment/water-and-sanitation/
- [3] Llanos, E. G., Durán-Barroso, P., Ramírez, R. R. Analysis of consumer awareness of sustainable water consumption by the water footprint concept, *Science of The Total Environment*, 721, 1-21.
- [4] Romano, G., Salvati, N., Martini, M., Guerrini, A. (2013). Water Utilities and the promotion of sustainable water use: An international insight. *Environmental Engineering and Management Journal*, 12(11), 129-132.
- [5] Costanzo, M., Archer, D., Aronson, E., Pettigrew, T. (1986). Energy conservation behavior. The difficult path from information to action. *American Psychologist* 41(5), 521-528.

10.1037/0003-066X.41.5.521

- [6] Yılmaz, G., Youngreen, R. (2016). The application of minority influence theory in computer-mediated communication groups. *Small Group Research*, 1-26. Doi: 10.1177/1046496416661033
- [7] Folkvord, F., Bevelander, E. K., Rozendaal E., & Hermans R. (2019). Children's bonding with popular YouTube vloggers and their attitudes toward brand and product endorsements in vlogs: an explorative study. *Young Consumers*, 20(2), 77–90. https://doi.org/10.1108/YC-12-2018-0896
- [8] Badruzzaman, M., Hess, T., Smith, H., Upson, S., & Jacangelo, J. G. (2017). Value propositions of the water footprint concept for sustainable water utilities. *American Water Works Association*, 109(9), 393–408.



- [9] Hoekstra, A.Y., Hung, P.Q. (2002). Virtual water trade. A quantification of virtual water flows between nations in relation to international crop trade. *Value of Water Research Report Series, No 11. UESCO-IHE, Delft, the Netherlands.* Viewed on 21 June 2023, The Netherlands, http://waterfootprint.org/media/downloads/Report11.pdf
- [10] Wackernagel, M., Rees, W., (1996). *Our ecological footprint. Reducing human impact on the earth.* Canada, New Society Publishers.
- [11] Galli, A., Wiedmann, T., Ercin, E., Knoblauch, D., Ewing, B., & Giljum, S. (2012).

 Integrating ecological, carbon and water footprint into a "footprint family" of indicators: Definition and role in tracking human pressure on the planet. *Ecological Indicators*, 16, 100–112. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2011.06.017
- [12] De Loë, R., Kreutzwiser, R., & Moraru, L. (2001). Adaptation options for the near term: Climate change and the Canadian water sector. *Global Environmental Change*, *11*(3), 231–245. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0959-3780(00)00053-4
- [13] Jorgensen, L. S., Olsen, B. S., Ladenburg, J., Martinsen, L., Svenningsen, R. S., Hasler,
 B. (2013). Spatially induced disparities in users' and non-users' WTP for water
 quality improvements testing the effect of multiple substitutes and distance decay.
 Ecological Economics, 92, 58-66.
- [14] Fielding, K., Russell, S., Spinks, A., & Mankad, A. (2012). Determinants of household water conservation: The role of demographic, infrastructure, behavior, and psychosocial variables. *Water Resources Research*, 48, 1–12. https://doi.org/10.1029/2012WR01239
- [15] Michie, S., van Stralen, M. M., West, R. (2011). The behaviour change wheel: A new method for characterising and designing behaviour change interventions.

 Implementation Science, 6(1), 1–1.



- [16] Gezer, A., & Erdem, A. (2018). Su stresi, su kıtlığı ve su tasarrufu hakkında halkın farkındalığının belirlenmesi: Akdeniz Üniversitesi örnek çalışması. [Identifying the recognition of the public on water stress, water scarcity, and water conservation]

 *Doğal Afetler ve Çevre Dergisi, 4(2), 113–122.

 https://doi.org/10.21324/dacd.408379
- [17] Mavrodieva, V. A., Rachman, K., O., Harahap, B., V., Shaw, R. (2019). Role of social media as a soft power tool in raising public awareness and engagement in addressing climate change. *MDPI*, 7(122), 1-15. doi:10.3390/cli7100122
- [18] Breves, P., & Liebers, N. (2022). #Greenfluencing. The impact of parasocial relationships with social media influencers on advertising effectiveness and followers' pro-environmental intentions. *Environmental Communication*, 1–15. https://doi.org/10.1080/17524032.2022.2109708
- [19] Jalali, S. S., Khalid, B. H. (2020). The influence of Instagram influencers' activity on green consumption behavior. *Business Management Strategy*, 12(1), 78-90. Doi:10.5296/bms.v12i1.18265
- [20] Yıldırım, S. (2021). Do green women influencers spur sustainable consumption patterns? Descriptive evidences from social media influencers. *Ecofeminism and Climate Change* 2, (4), 198-210. Doi: 10.1108/EFCC-02-2021-0003
- [21] Carter, D. (2016). Hustle and brand: The sociotechnical shaping of influence. *Social Media + Society* 1–12. https://doi.org/10.1177/2056305116666305
- [22] Erdoğan, H., & Özcan, M. B. (2020). Influencer pazarlaması kullanımının tüketicilerin satın alma niyetine etkisi: Instagram influencerları üzerine bir araştırma. [The effect of influencer marketing on consumers' buying intention: A research on Instagram influencers] İşletme Araştırmaları Dergisi, 12(4), 3813–3827. https://doi.org/10.20491/isarder.2020.1074



- [23] Boerman, C. S., & Müller, M. C. (2022). Understanding which cues people use to identify influencer marketing on Instagram: an eye-tracking study and experiment.

 *International Journal of Advertising, 41(1), 6–29, https://doi.org/10.1080/02650487.2021.1986256
- [24] Grundler, Z. M. (2022). *Message framing in green Influencer marketing*. (Master Thesis, Universiteit van Amsterdam, Amsterdam, Holland).
- [25] Marathe, R., Jagdale, N.S. (2022). An empirical study of impact of influencer marketing on consumer purchase decision of water purifiers. *UGC Care Group 1 Journal*, 52(1), 33-42.
- [26] Chwialkowska, A. (2019). How sustainability influencers drive green lifestyle adoption on social media: The process of green lifestyle adoption explained through the lenses of the minority influence model and social learning theory. *Management of Sustainable Development, Sciendo, 11*(1), 33–42.
- [27] Ehret, P. J., Hodges, H. E., Kuehl, C., Brick, C., Mueller, S., & Anderson, S. E. (2021).

 Systematic review of household water conservation interventions using the information–motivation–behavioral skills model. *Environment and Behavior*, *53*(5), 485–519. https://doi.org/10.1177/0013916519896868
- [28] Syme, G. J., Nancarrow, B. E., Seligman, C. (2000). The evaluation of information campaigns to promote voluntary household water conservation. *Evaluation review*, 24(6), 539-578.
- [29] Koop, S. H. A., Van Dorssen, A. J., Brouwer, S. (2019). Enhancing domestic water conservation behaviour: A review of empirical studies on influencing tactics. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 247, 867-876.
- [30] Reddy, A., Lewis, C., Sengupta, R. (2020). Scale for attitude towards water conservation. *SocArXiv*, 2, 1-11. Doi:10.31235/osf.io/wst9d



- [31] Ananga, E. O., Agong, G. S., Acheampong, M., Njoj, A. Hayombe, P. (2020). Examining the effect of community participation on beneficiary satisfaction with the work of water management committee in urban community-based operated water schemes. *Sustainable Water Resources Management*, 6(49), 1-13. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40899-020-00408-5
- [32] Llanos, E. G., Durán-Barroso, P., Ramírez, R. R. Analysis of consumer awareness of sustainable water consumption by the water footprint concept, *Science of The Total Environment*, 721, 1-21.
- [33] Godfrey, D. M., & Feng, P. (2017). Communicating sustainability: Student perceptions of a behavior change campaign. *International Journal of Sustainability in Higher Education*, 18(1), 2–26. https://doi.org/10.1108/IJSHE-01-2015-0009.